Systematic Review

COVID-19 pandemic and youth fitness: A systematic review

Brittanie Tran¹, Amy Allnutt¹, Alexei Wong¹,

¹ Department of Health and Human Performance, Marymount University, 2807 North Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia 22207, USA

* **Corresponding author:** Alexei Wong, Associate Professor, Department of Health and Human Performance, Marymount University, 2807 North Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia 22207 **Email:** awong@marymount.edu

Received: 17 January 2023 Revised: 1 February 2023 Accepted: 5 February 2023 e-Published: 10 February 2023

Abstract

While in school, children and adolescents are often required to participate in physical activity as part of daily interactions or guided by the curriculum. Whether that be playing a game at recess, or participating in physical education classes, children and adolescents are up and moving more. However, the COVID-19 pandemic stripped youth of these examples of incidental physical activity. Being in lockdown for long periods and experiencing different degrees of supervision from teachers and parents, children increased their consumption of junk food, sedentary activity, and unhealthy habits. Thus, physical fitness is likely to have decreased among youth since 2019. However, studies and publications on youth fitness specific to the pandemic are scarce. In this systematic review, we provide readers with relevant case studies, statistics, and information that reflects the impact of the coronavirus pandemic on youth fitness. Although the impact of coronavirus on youth fitness is a newly developed topic that still requires extensive research, evidence and comparison between fitness performance, habits, and behaviors pre and post pandemic infer a negative correlation.

Keywords: COVID-19, Coronavirus, Physical fitness, Exercise, Youth Sports.

Introduction

In 2019, an outbreak of a deadly respiratory virus, Coronavirus, changed the normalcy of everyday life. Infecting the population at an incredibly rapid rate and resulting in millions of deaths, many governments globally ordered national lockdowns and quarantine laws.¹⁻⁷ Leaving one's home was not permitted except for essential requirements such as food or medical appointments.⁸⁻¹⁰ Many companies chose to conduct business via online platforms. Furthermore, schools were closed and moved to online learning.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Initially, students may have been excited about the prospect of staying home and going to school in their pajamas. However, this dream quickly posed to be a challenge for many students and their Schools provide students with many families. opportunities other than education, for example, for many it is the focal point of their social life.^{15,16} In addition, school provides free counseling, food, and promotes physical activity. With more time spent with students performing school tasks via a laptop, sedentary lifestyle

increased significantly. Students no longer had designated time for physical education¹⁷ and performing physical exercises at home was even more difficult with nationwide shutdowns of recreation centers and national parks.¹⁴

Being physically active and reducing inactivity are essential to maintain physical fitness levels.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Current evidence indicates that the coronavirus pandemic decreased fitness levels in the overall population.²¹⁻²³ However, studies and publications on youth fitness specific to the pandemic are scarce. There are variable factors contributing to limited data on this topic- for example, the relatively short time period since the reopening of schools leading to inadequate period of observation to analyze the long-term effects on youth fitness. Nevertheless, there are some short-term studies that have compared fitness levels among youth between current time periods and past data. The purpose of this report is to provide readers with relevant case studies, data and information that reflects the impact of the coronavirus pandemic on youth fitness.

Copyright© 2023. This open-access article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License which permits Share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) and Adapt (remix, transform, and build upon the material) under the Attribution-NonCommercial terms. Downloaded from: https://www.nclinmed.com/

Methods

The review was completed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines.^{24,25}

Literature Search

A comprehensive literature search was conducted in electronic databases including PubMed, National Library of Medicine, Consumer Health Database, American Health Rankings, and Sportdiscus from January 2019 through December 2022. The following terms were used in the search approach: ("Youth Fitness" AND "COVID") OR ("Fitness" AND "Youth" AND "COVID") OR ("Youth") AND ("Health"). The terms used were computed based on the question: "What are the rates of youth fitness and or physical activity pre and post Coronavirus?".

Eligibility Criteria

The incorporated published literature must follow specific criteria. The literature must have been from a reliable primary source, with the focus being on young individuals of school age, ranging from grades Kindergarten through to year 12, or the ages of five through 18 years. The literature referenced in this study was thoroughly reviewed by an external peer reviewer and contains data relevant to the time periods of 2018 through 2022. Focusing on the specific time periods will show data in relation to the period of peak Coronavirus lockdowns. The demographics from publications must have included data based on age (youth) and health (fitness grading). Investigations were excluded if they: (i) were published in a language other than English; (ii) had findings not related to youth fitness and or physical activity; (iii) were conducted on participants not in grades kindergarten through to year 12. Figure 1 outlines the steps in the selection of the included studies.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

The literature review was conducted in the following manner: identification, criteria evaluation, data analysis, and data extraction. In the identification phase, records were found through a database search using keyword identification tools. During the criteria evaluation stage, references were analyzed via examination of paper titles and abstracts. Studies were excluded based on the above mentioned eligibility criteria. In the data analysis phase, relevant full texts were scrutinized for eligibility and all relevant works were included in this systematic review. Finally, the data extraction phase sought to analyze demographic data, study design, objectives, interventions and outcomes, with relevance to the defined hypothesis of the COVID-19 pandemic impacting the levels of fitness of school aged children.

Level of evidence of the selected papers

The level of evidence of each selected publication was individually assessed by analyzing the methodological quality of each source. The sources include: report card indicator reviews, nationally representative data, qualitative data on implementation of evidence-based policy and practice by State Mental Health Authorities (SMHA), Virginia Department of Health testing results and statistics, Behavioral Risk Factor Survey Analysis, peer reviewed publications, and statistics from Americans Health Ranking Annual report years 2019-2022.

Methodological quality and risk of bias

The methodological quality of the selected studies was determined by the PEDro scale (http://www.pedro.org.au/english/downloads/pedroscale/) which consists of eleven items. The selected articles with a score of seven or greater in the PEDro scale were considered of 'high' methodological quality, those with a score of five to six of 'fair' quality and with a score of four or below of 'poor' quality.²⁶ The Cochrane Collaboration's tool was utilized to assess the risk of bias of the included articles.²⁷

Results

A total of 108 studies were identified in the database search and, after the removal of 2 duplicates, 106 studies remained for screening. During the screening process, 64 publications were excluded as they were not related to the research question. Consequently, the full text of 19 studies were reviewed in detail. After careful analysis, 12 studies were excluded for not meeting inclusion criteria. Finally, 7 studies were included in the systematic review. The selection process is summarized in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Study selection process

Source	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Score
Pavlovic et al. 2021 ²⁸												4/10
Wahl-Alexander & Camic, 2021 ²⁹												7/10
Dunton et al. 2021 ³⁰												4/10
Chaffee et al. 2021 ³¹												4/10
Tulchin-Francis et al. 2021 ³²												5/10
Watrous et al. 2021 ³³												4/10
Appelhans et al. 2021 ³⁴												5/10

1) Eligibility criteria has been specified; 2) Subjects were randomly allocated to groups; 3) Allocation was concealed; 4) The groups were similar at baseline regarding the most important prognostic indicators; 5) There was blinding of all subjects; 6) There was blinding of all therapists who administered the therapy; 7) There was blinding of all assessors who measured at least one key outcome; 8) Measures of at least one key outcome were obtained from more than 85% of the subjects initially allocated to groups; 9) All subjects for whom outcome measures were available received the treatment or control condition as allocated or, where this was not the case, data for at least one key outcome was analyzed by "intention to treat"; 10) The results of between-group statistical comparisons are reported for at least one key outcome; 11) The study provides both point measures and measures of variability for at least one key outcome. White = satisfied and Black = unsatisfied.

Most of the sources utilized survey methodology due to pandemic restrictions. With surveys, subjects are almost always aware of the study and its purpose (to meet informed consent criteria). Because of this, the majority of sources used did not meet the satisfactory components of categories 2, 5, and 6. In contrast, the majority of studies contained statistical analysis between cohorts. Comparison between different cohorts allows examiners to analyze the efficacy of interventions.

The number of participants in included studies ranged from 130 to over 1,000 participants. The individuals that participated were either children aged 3-17 years old or the parents relaying information about their children that fell into the same age category. Pavlovic et al.,²⁸ collected environmental physical activity inhibitors of 1,789 schools during the coronavirus lockdown. The respondents reported 80% of children being less physically active based on several parameters including: presence of regulated fitness classes, closure policies, location of the school, and socioeconomic status. Wahl-Alexander & Camic²⁹ compared pre-pandemic fitness test scores to postpandemic fitness test scores of 264 students in grades three through to eight (133 males and 131 females). The scores of the testing conducted by Wahl-Alexander & Camic reflect an average of 0.478 times drop in fitness related performance.²⁹ Dunton et al.,³⁰ extracted data from parents and guardians regarding the behavior of their children aged between 5 and 13. This data summarized that children engaged in an average of 8 hours of sedentary activity daily during the pandemic lockdowns. Similarly, Chaffee et al.,³¹ compared pre and post pandemic activity levels of 1,006 year 9 and 10 students. One cohort was composed of students from the pre-pandemic period of spring 2019 (March, April, and May) and the other, the mid-pandemic period of fall of 2019 (September, October, November). The authors found that the percent of physically active teens dropped from 54.0% to 38.1% in the pandemic affected cohort.³¹ Tulchins-Francis et al.,³² surveyed parents of youth aged 3-18 years. Over 1,300 completed surveys were analyzed to conclude that physical activity level scores of children decreased significantly during the pandemic from 56.6% to 46.6%. Watrous et al.,33 compared BMI scores of cohorts pre and post pandemic. The subjects included 154 children aged 8 to 11 years old, and it concluded that the pre-pandemic cohort had an average of 15% higher fitness levels while the postpandemic cohort had lower scores and more visceral body fat measured. In a newly developed case study, Appelhans et al.,³⁴ obtained data from 1,364 families about unhealthy habits and behaviors pre and post pandemic. The study found that 87% of participants had negative changes and behaviors during the coronavirus pandemic.

On further analysis of the included studies, it was found that there are multiple factors affecting physical activity levels of youth during the coronavirus pandemic. Factors of note include: socioeconomic status, access to facilities or parks and individual habits or impulse behavior. The effects of the Coronavirus lockdown had adverse impacts on [already decreasing] youth fitness levels as shown by measurable data extracted in papers from Watrous,³³ Chaffee³¹, and Wahl-Alexander & Camic²⁹ in particular. These papers concluded that: BMI and visceral adipose tissue increased after the pandemic, fitness scores decreased by a measurable mean of 0.478, and physical activity or sports recreational involvement decreased by 16%. Other included papers with qualitative methods such as surveys yielded similar results- for example: significant increases in sedentary behavior, decrease in overall movement, and increase in negative impulsive behaviors such as skipping workouts or unhealthy eating habits, and decrease in baseline physical activity. Included case studies highlight that youth and adolescents have been negatively impacted by COVID-19 closures of schools [Table 1]. An increase in sedentary activity has decreased fitness performance thus posing plausible risks on youth health.

Discussion

The current review aimed to investigate the effects of Coronavirus-related lockdowns and school closures on youth fitness. The methodological quality of the included studies was deemed as fair and poor. The results showed that the proposed pre-pandemic trends of already decreasing worsened during closures for a variety of reasons including: accessibility, habits, parental monitoring, and increases in sedentary activities.

A description of included case studies and interpretation of their findings is provided below. A research study by Pavlovic et al.,²⁸ was conducted in March 2020 with the purpose of examining the maintenance of physical education and physical activity during distance learning periods, as well as determining the resources educators were utilizing to deliver PE curricula. Surveys were sent to 1,789 schools, 62 district administrators, 64 nurses, and three miscellaneous institutions. 69.7% of respondents were located in a city or suburb that had low socioeconomic status and 97.8% of campuses in the studied population were closed because of COVID-19. Most of the institutions had physical education requirements, however about 2.8 percent did not. During the pandemic, institutions that did not enforce physical education requirements increased to 21 percent. In schools that remained open, 60 percent did not maintain physical education requirements during the same period. The results reflected that almost 80% of respondents reported children being significantly less active.

In another case study by Wahl-Alexander & Camic²⁹, researchers analyzed how COVID-19 affected fitness of school aged males and females. A specific formula to compare fitness before and after COVID-19 closures of

schools was utilized. The population was composed of 264 Year 3 through to year 8 students, (n=131 males, n=133 females). The authors reported that both males and

females gained significant weight and decreased in their performance of push-ups, curl ups, and aerobic exercises [Table 2].

Study and	Population	Purpose	Results		
Year					
Pavlovic et	Teachers, administrators	Analyze the maintenance of	80% of responders reported children being		
al. 2021 ²⁸	and nurses	physical education and physical	significantly less active		
		activity during the time of			
		distance learning			
Wahl-	School aged males and	Analyze how COVID-19 affected	Both males and females gained significant		
Alexander &	females	fitness of school aged males and	weight and decreased in their performance		
Camic, 2021 ²⁹		females using a specific formula	of push-ups, curl-ups, and aerobic exercises.		
Dunton et al.	Legal parents and	Evaluate child's habits	Children engaged in approximately 8 hours		
2020 ³⁰	guardians		of sedentary behavior each day		
Chaffee et al.	Two cohorts of 9th and	Analyze physical activity	Teens enrolled during peak lockdowns		
2021 ³¹	10th graders		suffered a greater decrease in physical		
			activity		
Tulchin-	Parents of youth aged 3-	Analyze the effects of the	Physical activity level scores of children		
Francis et al.	18 years	coronavirus pandemic on play	decreased significantly during the pandemic		
202132		and physical activity of youth	from 56.6% to 46.6%		
Watrous et	Children aged 8-11 years	Observation of fitness level	The pre-pandemic cohort had an average of		
al, 2021 ³³		differences between pre and post	15% higher fitness levels compared to the		
		pandemic cohorts	post-lockdown cohort.		
Appelhans et	Children and their	Measurement of pre and post	87% of participants had negative changes		
al, 2021 ³⁴	families ($N = 1364$)	pandemic behaviors and habits	and behaviors during the coronavirus		
			pandemic		

Table 2. Adolescent fitness performance pre vs post COVID-19 reported by Wahl-Alexander and Camic, 2021²⁹

Fitness Component	COVID-19 effect
Push-ups	-35.6% , $\eta 2 = 0.371$. Statistically significant decrease post COVID-19
Sit-ups	-19.4% , $\eta 2 = 0.420$. Statistically significant decrease post COVID-19
Aerobic Endurance Run test	-26.7% , $\eta 2 = 0.644$. Statistically significant decrease post COVID-19

Similar case studies have gathered information about children's behavior from legal guardians to evaluate their habits. In a case study conducted by Dunton et al.,³⁰ online surveys were completed in relation to children aged 5-13 years, comparing pre and post COVID-19 closures and categorized children's habits based on activity level. The survey concluded that children engaged in about 8 hours of sedentary behavior (sitting) each day.³⁰

Chaffee et al.,³¹ analyzed 1,006 students in two cohorts of high school aged children in 9th and 10th grade. 521 were enrolled in spring (March, April, and May) 2019 and 485 who were enrolled in fall (October, November, December) 2019. The results concluded that the early 2019 cohort saw a statistically insignificant change in physical activity levels from 53.7% to 52.9%. In contrast, the percent of physically active teens dropped from 54.0% to 38.1% in the mid-pandemic cohort of late 2019.³¹

More recent studies have been conducted and suggest that the impact of coronavirus, and the 2019 pandemic, may have long term adverse-effects on youth fitness. Studies conducted after pandemic restrictions were lifted provide insight on post-pandemic fitness protocols and education in schools, such as the survey conducted out of Texas by Tulchin-Francis et al in late 2020 of 1,300 3 to 18 year olds.³² This paper used local anonymised feedback from parents as well as national data for a socioecological framework modeling of questions, to analyze the effects of the coronavirus pandemic on play and physical activity of youth. Positively, 82.7% of collected surveys were fully completed and included in the study, however limitations included that the majority of participants were considered to be moderate to highly educated families, with at least four or more years of college education. Only a small representation were from low income families (6.5%). It found that most neighborhoods scored a low "environmental neighborhood" score based on lack of cycling/pedestrian path use. Furthermore, 58% of recreational facilities and 78.9% of organized sports were inaccessible during the pandemic. The physical activity level scores of children decreased significantly during the pandemic from 56.6 to 46.6. More specifically, moderate to vigorous physical activity decreased while light physical activity remained consistent. Finally, Tulchin-Francis et al.,32 emphasized and used their own data to make local recommendations based off the US guideline recommendations of youth obtaining at least one hour of physical activity [moderate to vigorous] on a daily basis. These included: acknowledgement from public officials the impact of the pandemic on physical activity, encourage families and parents to continue to encourage physical activity, and schools ensure that all individuals receive physical education via in-person or online instruction.³²

A study conducted by Watrous et al, from Northeastern University, US analyzed performance differences between different cohorts to form conclusions on pandemic-related inhibitors of youth fitness.³³ A sample of 154 children, aged 8-11 years, performed VO2 max, aerobic capacity, and BMI testing before and after the pandemic. The prepandemic cohort had an average of 15% higher fitness levels while the post-pandemic cohort had lower scores and increase in visceral adipose tissue.

In another study, conducted by Appelhans et al.,³⁴ executive function impairment changes were observed. Executive functions were defined as "a set of cognitive processes that support goal-directed behavior, including inhibitory control, working memory, cognitive flexibility, and other second-order processes (e.g. planning and problem-solving)". This is significant, as if an individual exhibits executive function deficits, it is harder for them to

adhere to a healthy diet, participate in exercise, or moderate substance abuse including alcohol. More specifically, the coronavirus pandemic has been hypothesized to negatively impact executive functions. This study drew samples from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Study of Early Child Care and Youth Development, a prospective birth cohort of children and their families (N=1364) recruited in 1991.³⁴ The assessments captured trajectories of health and development throughout childhood and adolescence. Data was taken before and after the pandemic and measured behaviors and habits, summarized in Table 1. Individuals were asked about their emotional wellbeing, healthy eating habits, BMI, and physical activity adherence. Conclusions were drawn and found that approximately 87% of participants had negative changes and behaviors during the coronavirus pandemic [i.e.: motivation, self-restraint and emotional regulation]. The study found that individuals with preexisting low executive function scores were more likely to be vulnerable to negative impacts of the pandemic.³⁴ Although the study did not primarily focus on children, it is useful in drawing inferences about factors that influence unhealthy behaviors that may decrease physical activity. More specifically, many of the participants have children and may easily negatively influence their behavior or habits. This study is the only known study to analyze the psychological factors of physical activity adherence.

While there are some scholarly articles that analyze the effect of coronavirus-related closures on youth fitness, it is a newly developed topic that has yet to be developed by exercise scientists and other researchers. Due to its recent impact, there are few numerical values in data that have been recorded in studies. The majority of research conducted has been via observational data collection i.e surveys, therefore response bias is likely to be present. Furthermore, because the studies were conducted in a survey manner, the results are more subjective. In addition, this makes it difficult to obtain a control group for reference in comparison.

Recommendations for Future Research

In the future, it may be beneficial to conduct group comparisons with at least three trials. For example, measuring objective data such as performances such as VO_2 max, flexibility scores, aerobic capacity, or muscular strength at least six months apart to compare results. Additionally, it will highly benefit researchers to analyze a larger number of participants to reduce the possibility of extreme bias. Another factor to consider are external variables such as geographic location, household income, accessibility to recreational facilities and school attendance. These factors, while not a direct effect on coronavirus and fitness, greatly impact how youth may or may not participate in exercise

Conclusions

Although the impact of coronavirus on youth fitness is a newly developed topic that still requires extensive research, previous evidence and comparison between fitness performance, habits, and behaviors pre and post pandemic infer a negative correlation.

Acknowledgment

None.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Abbreviations

Coronavirus disease 2019: COVID-19.

Authors' contributions

BT and AW: Study conceptualization and drafting of the manuscript. BT, AA and AW: Interpreting the available data. BT, AA and AW: Revision of the paper. BT, AA and AW: Final minor edits. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

The data used in this study are available from the corresponding author on request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

None.

Consent for publication

By submitting this document, the authors declare their

consent for the final accepted version of the manuscript to be considered for publication.

References

- Hiscott J, Alexandridi M, Muscolini M, Tassone E, Palermo E, Soultsioti M, et al. The global impact of the coronavirus pandemic. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2020; doi:10.1016/j.cytogfr.2020.05.010 PMid:32487439 PMCid:PMC7254014
- Ciotti M, Ciccozzi M, Terrinoni A, Jiang WC, Wang C Bin, Bernardini S. The COVID-19 pandemic. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2020. doi:10.1080/10408363.2020.1783198 PMid:32645276
- Wu YC, Chen CS, Chan YJ. The outbreak of COVID-19: An overview. J Chin Med Assoc. 2020. doi:10.1097/JCMA.000000000000270 PMid:32134861 PMCid:PMC7153464
- Sohrabi C, Alsafi Z, O'Neill N, Khan M, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, et al. World Health Organization declares global emergency: A review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19). Int J Surg. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.02.034 PMid:32112977 PMCid:PMC7105032
- Loyal JD, Chen Y. Statistical Network Analysis: A Review with Applications to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. Int Stat Rev. 2020; doi:10.1111/insr.12398
- Naghashpour M, Darvishi A, Adelipour M, Bagheri R, Wong A, Suzuki K, et al. Serum Concentrations of Thyroid-Stimulating Hormone, Triiodothyronine, and Thyroxine in Outpatients Infected with SARS-CoV2 in Khuzestan Province, Iran: A Disease Clinical Course Approach. Medicine. 2022;58(7). doi:10.3390/medicina58070891 PMid:35888610 PMCid:PMC9318863
- Golabi S, Ghasemi S, Adelipour M, Bagheri R, Suzuki K, Wong A, et al. Oxidative Stress and Inflammatory Status in COVID-19 Outpatients: A Health Center-Based Analytical Cross-Sectional Study. Antioxidants. 2022;11(4):1-17. doi:10.3390/antiox11040606 PMid:35453291 PMCid:PMC9024445
- Baloch S, Baloch MA, Zheng T, Pei X. The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2020. doi:10.1620/tjem.250.271 PMid:32321874
- Lau H, Khosrawipour V, Kocbach P, Mikolajczyk A, Schubert J, Bania J, et al. The positive impact of lockdown in Wuhan on containing the COVID-19 outbreak in China. J Travel Med. 2021. doi:10.1093/jtm/taaa037 PMid:32181488 PMCid:PMC7184469
- Velavan TP, Meyer CG. The COVID-19 epidemic. Trop Med Int Health. 2020. doi:10.1111/tmi.13383 PMid:32052514 PMCid:PMC7169770
- Tsang JTY, So MKP, Chong ACY, Lam BSY, Chu AMY. Higher education during the pandemic: The predictive factors of learning effectiveness in covid-19 online learning. Educ Sci. 2021; doi:10.3390/educsci11080446
- Adedoyin OB, Soykan E. Covid-19 pandemic and online learning: the challenges and opportunities. Interact Learn Environ. 2020. doi:10.1080/10494820.2020.1813180
- 13. Costa SA, Kavouras I, Cohen N, Huang TTK. Moving Education Online During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Thinking Back and

Tran et al

Looking	Ahead.	Front	Public	Health.	2021;
doi:10.3389	9/fpubh.202		PMid:34	4760865	
PMCid:PN	IC8572807				

- 14. Hash PM. Remote Learning in School Bands During the COVID-19 Shutdown. J Res Music Educ. 2021; doi:10.1177/0022429420967008 PMid:35769178 PMCid:PMC7723736
- Rajmil L, Hjern A, Boran P, Gunnlaugsson G, Kraus De Camargo O, Raman S. Impact of lockdown and school closure on children's health and well-being during the first wave of COVID-19: A narrative review. BMJ Paediatr Open. 2021. doi:10.1136/bmjpo-2021-001043 PMid:34192198 PMCid:PMC8154298
- Panagouli E, Stavridou A, Savvidi C, Kourti A, Psaltopoulou T, Sergentanis TN, et al. School performance among children and adolescents during covid-19 pandemic: A systematic review. Children. 2021. doi:10.3390/children8121134 PMid:34943330 PMCid:PMC8700572
- D'Agostino EM, Urtel M, Webster CA, McMullen J, Culp B. Virtual Physical Education During COVID-19: Exploring Future Directions for Equitable Online Learning Tools. Front Sport Act Living. 2021 doi:10.3389/fspor.2021.716566 PMid:34514390 PMCid:PMC8426569
- Galper DI, Trivedi MH, Barlow CE, Dunn AL, Kampert JB. Inverse association between physical inactivity and mental health in men and women. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2006. doi:10.1249/01.mss.0000180883.32116.28 PMid:16394971
- Haapanen-Niemi N, Miilunpalo S, Pasanen M, Vuori I, Oja P, Malmberg J. Body mass index, physical inactivity and low level of physical fitness as determinants of all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality - 16 y follow-up of middle-aged and elderly men and women. Int J Obes. 2000. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0801426 PMid:11126344
- Menhas R, Dai J, Ashraf MA, Noman SM, Khurshid S, Mahmood S, et al. Physical inactivity, non-communicable diseases and national fitness plan of China for physical activity. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2021. doi:10.2147/RMHP.S258660 PMid:34113188 PMCid:PMC8184286
- Pinho CS, Caria ACI, Júnior RA, Pitanga FJG. The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on levels of physical fitness. Rev Assoc Med Bras. 2020. doi:10.1590/1806-9282.66.s2.34 PMid:32965353
- Hall G, Laddu DR, Phillips SA, Lavie CJ, Arena R. A tale of two pandemics: How will COVID-19 and global trends in physical inactivity and sedentary behavior affect one another? Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2021. doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.005 PMid:32277997 PMCid:PMC7194897
- Hernández-Jaña S, Escobar-Gómez D, Cristi-Montero C, Castro-Piñero J, Rodríguez-Rodríguez F. Changes in Active Behaviours, Physical Activity, Sedentary Time, and Physical Fitness in Chilean Parents during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Retrospective Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022. doi:10.3390/ijerph19031846 PMid:35162868 PMCid:PMC8835301
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ. 2009. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100 PMid:19621070

PMCid:PMC2707010

- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Altman D, Antes G, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097 PMid:19621072 PMCid:PMC2707599
- 26. Maher CG, Sherrington C, Herbert RD, Moseley AM, Elkins M. Reliability of the PEDro scale for rating quality of randomized controlled trials. Phys Ther. 2003. doi:10.1093/ptj/83.8.713 PMid:12882612
- Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011. doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928 PMid:22008217 PMCid:PMC3196245
- Pavlovic A, DeFina LF, Natale BL, Thiele SE, Walker TJ, Craig DW, et al. Keeping children healthy during and after COVID-19 pandemic: meeting youth physical activity needs. BMC Public Health. 2021. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-142643/v1
- Wahl-Alexander Z, Camic CL. Impact of covid-19 on schoolaged male and female health-related fitness markers. Pediatr Exerc Sci. 2021. doi:10.1123/pes.2020-0208 PMid:33773489
- Dunton GF, Do B, Wang SD. Early effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on physical activity and sedentary behavior in children living in the U.S. BMC Public Health. 2020. doi:10.33774/coe-2020-q6pz0
- Chaffee BW, Cheng J, Couch ET, Hoeft KS, Halpern-Felsher B. Adolescents' Substance Use and Physical Activity before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Pediatr. 2021. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.0541 PMid:33938922 PMCid:PMC8094031
- Tulchin-Francis K, Stevens W, Gu X, Zhang T, Roberts H, Keller J, et al. The impact of the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic on physical activity in U.S. children. J Sport Healh Sci. 2021; doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2021.02.005 PMid:33657464 PMCid:PMC8167336
- Rossi L, Behme N, Breuer C. Physical activity of children and adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic-A scoping review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021. doi:10.3390/ijerph182111440 PMid:34769956 PMCid:PMC8583307
- Appelhans BM, Thomas AS, Roisman GI, Booth-LaForce C, Bleil ME. Preexisting Executive Function Deficits and Change in Health Behaviors During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Int J Behav Med. 2021. doi:10.1007/s12529-021-09974-0 PMid:33649889 PMCid:PMC7920747

Cite this article as:

Tran B, Allnutt A, Wong A. COVID-19 pandemic and youth fitness: A systematic review. Novel Clin Med. 2023;2(1):3-10. doi: 10.22034/NCM.2023.381863.1069